

United States Faient and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vrignin 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/855,312	05/	14/2001	Thomas A. Kodenkandath	05770-156001 / AMSC-554	8789
26161	7590	08/13/2003			
FISH & RIC		N PC	EXAMINER		
225 FRANK BOSTON, M				BRUNSMAN	, DAVID M
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				1755	
				DATE MAILED: 08/13/2003	B

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	•	
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Autieu Comment	09/855,312	KODENKANDATH ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	David M Brunsman	1755
The MAILING DATE of this communicated Period for Reply	tion appears on the cover shee	with the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATE OF THIS COMMUNICATE OF SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) of the No period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutation of the Period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will any reply received by the Office later than three months after earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATION. 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, marcation. ays, a reply within the statutory minimum of ory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) No., by statute, cause the application to become	y a reply be timely filed thirty (30) days will be considered timely. MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed	on Election of 30 June 2003 .	
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for closed in accordance with the practice Disposition of Claims		natters, prosecution as to the merits is C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-88</u> is/are pending in the ap	olication	
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-44,51-58,6</u>		n from consideration
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	o o 1,00 ana oo lo aro wararaw	THOM SOME CANOTIC
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>45-50,59-65,85 and 87</u> is/are	rejected	
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	rojookou.	
8) Claim(s) <u>1-88</u> are subject to restriction	and/or election requirement	
Application Papers	ana/or orocaon roquiromona.	
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the E	xaminer.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	accepted or b) objected to b	y the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any object	tion to the drawing(s) be held in ab	eyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
11) The proposed drawing correction filed o	n is: a) approved b)	disapproved by the Examiner.
If approved, corrected drawings are requi	red in reply to this Office action.	
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by	the Examiner.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim fo	r foreign priority under 35 U.S.	C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a)□ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:		·
1.☐ Certified copies of the priority do	cuments have been received.	
2.☐ Certified copies of the priority do	cuments have been received in	Application No
	onal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)	en received in this National Stage). ot received.
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for	domestic priority under 35 U.S.	C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign langu 15)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for		
Attachment(s)	· •	
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper	-948) 5) Notice	ew Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01)	Office Action Summary	Part of Paper No. 13

Application/Control Number: 09/855,312

Art Unit: 1755

Applicant is advised that should claim 64 be found allowable, claim 87 will be objected to under 37 CFR 1.75 as being a substantial duplicate thereof. When two claims in an application are duplicates or else are so close in content that they both cover the same thing, despite a slight difference in wording, it is proper after allowing one claim to object to the other as being a substantial duplicate of the allowed claim. See MPEP § 706.03(k).

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 63 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The formulae in claim 63 containing variables including series of boxes render the meaning of the claim indefinite. The boxes recited are of varying width and those in the formulae do not correspond to the following definitions reciting boxes that even if of the same number are of different varying widths. Further, applicant is strongly urged to employ different variables in order to ensure any patent issuing from this application is printed properly.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 45, 46, 47 and 85 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by US Patent 5071833.

Application/Control Number: 09/855,312

Art Unit: 1755

The reference teaches a precursor composition for forming yttrium barium copper oxide superconductors comprising yttrium acetate, barium trifluoroacetate and copper propionate. See column 9, line 7 through column 15, line 2; and examples I-IV.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 48, 49, 50, 59-65 and 87 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Patent 5071833 as applied above, and further in view of US Patent 5304533.

The difference between US 5071833 and the instant claims is the addition of a Lewis Base such as an amine or ammonia to the composition. US 5304533 teaches that a base such as an amine or ammonia (See claim 6) may be added to metallorganic precursor compositions for forming yttrium barium copper oxide superconductors in order to promote hydrolysis. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to add a base, such as ammonia, to the composition of 5071833 because the prior art teaches hydrolysis would be promoted.

Claims 45 and 48 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by US Patent 5304533.

The reference teaches precursor compositions comprising a rare earth metal salt, an alkaline earth metal salt, copper carboxylate and a base such as an amine or ammonia. See the claims.

Art Unit: 1755

Claims 59-62 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by US Patent 4956340.

The reference teaches a precursor composition comprising a rare earth metal salt, an alkaline earth metal salt, a transition metal salt such as copper propionate and a base such as an amine or ammonia.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David M Brunsman whose telephone number is 703-308-3454. The examiner can normally be reached on M, W, F, Sa; 6:00-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mark Bell can be reached on 703-308-3823. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9310 for regular communications and 703-872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

David M Brunsman Primary Examiner Art Unit 1755

DMB August 6, 2003